

Transcriptional^{Q1} Profiling of Endometriosis Tissues Identifies Genes Related to Organogenesis Defects

STEFANIA CRISPI,¹ MARIA TERESA PICCOLO,¹ ALFREDO D'AVINO,²
ALDO DONIZETTI,¹ ROSA VICECONTE,³ MARIA SPYROU,³ RAFFAELE A. CALOGERO,⁴
ALFONSO BALDI,^{2,3*} AND PIETRO G. SIGNORILE^{3*}

¹Gene Expression & Human Molecular Genetics Laboratory, Institute of Genetics and Biophysics A.B.T CNR, Naples, Italy

²Department of Environmental, Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technologies, Second University of Naples, Naples, Italy

³Fondazione Italiana Endometriosis, Rome, Italy

⁴Bioinformatics and Genomics Unit, MBC Centro di Biotecnologie Molecolari, University of Turin, Turin, Italy

Endometriosis is a common benign pathology, characterised by the presence of endometrial tissue outside the endometrial cavity with a prevalence of 10–15% in reproductive-aged women. The pathogenesis is not completely understood, and several theories have been proposed to explain the aetiology. Our group has recently described the presence of ectopic endometrium in a consistent number of human female fetuses analysed by autopsy, reinforcing the hypothesis that endometriosis may be generated by defects during the organogenesis of the female reproductive tract. Herein, in order to identify, at molecular level, changes involved in the disease, we compared the transcriptional profiling of ectopic endometrium with the corresponding eutopic one. Statistical analyses lead us to identify some genes specifically deregulated in the ectopic endometrium, that are involved in gonad developmental process or in wound healing process. Among them, we identified BMP4 and GREM1. BMP4 was never associated before to endometriosis and is involved in the mesoderm-Müllerian duct differentiation. GREM1 is needed for the initial step of the ureter growth and perhaps could possibly be involved in Müller ducts differentiation. These molecules might be related to the endometriosis aetiology since we showed that their expression is not related to the menstrual cycle phase both at RNA and at protein levels. These data support the theory that embryological defects could be responsible of the endometriosis generation.

J. Cell. Physiol. 9999: 1–8, 2013. © 2013 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Endometriosis is a chronic benign disease present in 10–15% of fertile-aged women (Bulun, 2009; Giudice, 2010), characterised by the presence of endometrial glands and stroma outside the uterine cavity. This pathology is frequently associated with infertility and pelvic pain, with a more severe pelvic pain in the deep infiltrating endometriosis of rectovaginal septum, which is characterised by lesions with very high activity (Baldi et al., 2008; Signorile et al., 2009a).

The pathogenesis of endometriosis is not completely understood, and different theories have been proposed. The retrograde menstruation theory claims that endometrial cells can be deposited, via blood vessel, in other pelvic regions where they adhere and develop (Sampson, 1927). The coelomic metaplasia theory, hypothesizes that endometriosis is caused by spontaneous metaplasia of mesothelial cells of the coelomic epithelium (Matsuura et al., 1999). This theory is supported either by the ability of coelomic epithelium to differentiate in several histological cell types, and by the fact that mesothelium and embryonic precursors of endometrium (the Müllerian ducts) both have the same embryologic origin, so it is possible to correlate endometriosis to spontaneous mesothelium metaplasia. The endometrial stem cell theory proposes that the pathology is generated by endometrial stem/progenitor cells naturally located in the endometrium or by circulating stem cells deriving from bone marrow (Chan et al., 2004; Du and Taylor, 2007).

Another theory, explaining the presence of endometriosis along the migration pathway of the embryonic reproductive

trait, hypothesizes that endometriosis is due to alterations in the fine-tuning of female genital structures organogenesis (Signorile and Baldi, 2010). Briefly, cells committed to become endometrial, migrate at 8–10 weeks in the embryo following the female reproductive tracts (migrating uterus). Cells that are separated from embryonic development can generate ectopic endometrium that would remain quiescent until puberty, when the hormonal inputs would determine its re-activation and the onset of the symptoms of endometriosis.

Abbreviations: ICC, integrative correlation analysis; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction; IHC, immunohistochemistry; rAF, Revised American Fertility Society; SERM, Selective Estrogen Receptors Modulator.

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

*Correspondence to: Alfonso Baldi and Pietro G. Signorile, Fondazione Italiana Endometriosis, Via Emilio Longoni 81, 00155 Rome, Italy. E-mail: research@endometriosis.it

Manuscript Received: 16 February 2013

Manuscript Accepted: 20 February 2013

Accepted manuscript online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com): 00 Month 2013.

DOI: 10.1002/jcp.24358

In support of this theory, we described the presence of ectopic endometrium in a consistent number of human female fetuses analysed by autopsy (Signorile et al., 2009b, 2010a, 2012a). Further supporting the role of alteration during the fine-tuning of the female reproductive system in the pathogenesis of endometriosis, is our observation that pre-natal exposition to the Selective Estrogen Receptors Modulator (SERM) bisphenol in mice, is able to induce in the pups an endometriosis-like phenotype (Signorile et al., 2010b). Nevertheless, endometriosis is a multifaceted disease, so it is probable that complex interactions between genotype and environment could account for the disease onset.

In this study we analysed the transcriptome of the endometriosis lesions, in order to elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying this phenomenon. Specifically, we compared the transcriptome of the ectopic and eutopic endometrium of the same patients with respect to endometrium from unaffected samples. Statistical analyses of the microarray data highlighted the presence of up-regulated genes in the endometriotic lesions, whose expression was confirmed by immunohistochemistry. The potential clinical relevance of these data is discussed.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Clinical samples of eutopic or ectopic endometrial tissues were both collected from women affected by endometriosis that underwent surgery for infertility, pelvic pain symptoms (including dysmenorrhea, deep dyspareunia and no-menstrual pain) or adnexal masses between 2009 and 2011 at the "Centro Italiano Endometriosi" in Rome. The diagnosis of deep infiltrating endometriosis was made during the diagnostic and therapeutic phase of laparoscopic surgery and was based on the macroscopic appearance of the lesions, using the following criteria: (a) palpable and visible nodule or induration and retraction of peritoneum in the posterior and lateral area of cervix, at the level of the uterosacral ligaments and medial broad ligaments, and rectovaginal septum; (b) dark blue nodule visible at the posterior vaginal wall at speculum examination.

The 27 cases used in this study were characterised by the presence of endometriotic glands confirmed histologically, excluding those who previously have had endometriosis surgery and women no longer menstruating. Main patients' characteristics are summarised in Table I and for each case both ectopic and eutopic endometrium was analysed. Eutopic endometrial tissues were collected from healthy women in the proliferative phase of the menstrual cycle (days 3–13) undergoing laparoscopy or laparotomy for benign pathologies and were used as control samples. All the biopsies were collected in RNA Later (Ambion, Life Technologies, NY^{Q2}) before either formaldehyde-fixation for determination of histological endometriosis grade, or Trizol (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, CA^{Q3}) addition for RNA extraction. Each patient gave a written informed consent in accordance with the Italian law. This study was submitted and approved by the Ethic Committee of Fondazione Italiana Endometriosi.

GeneChip array sample preparation and data analysis

Total RNA was extracted from all the specimens using Trizol reagent. For each affected woman, two biopsies were obtained for the eutopic and ectopic endometrium respectively, while only one biopsy from the healthy eutopic endometrium was collected. RNA quality was assessed using an Experion RNA StdSens Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA^{Q4}). Biotinylated cRNAs targets were generated for six healthy women and eight endometriotic patients. All samples were in the proliferative phase of the menstrual cycle. cRNAs were produced from both ectopic and eutopic endometria

TABLE I. Patient clinical sample characteristics

Case no.	Age (years)	rAFS stage	Menstrual phase	Array	q-PCR	IHC
1	46	IV	Proliferative		X	
2	29	IV	Proliferative		X	
3	22	II	Proliferative		X	
4	31	IV	Proliferative		X	
5	39	IV	Proliferative		-	
6	31	IV	Proliferative	X	X	X
7	30	IV	Proliferative	X	X	X
8	32	II	Proliferative	X	X	X
9	35	IV	Proliferative	X	X	X
10	30	IV	Proliferative	X	X	X
11	30	IV	Proliferative	X	X	
12	22	IV	Proliferative	X	-	
13	42	II	Proliferative	X	X	
14	34	IV	Secretive		-	X
15	31	IV	Secretive		X	X
16	35	IV	Secretive		X	X
17	30	IV	Secretive		X	X
18	32	IV	Secretive		-	X
19	33	IV	Secretive		X	
20	33	IV	Menstrual		X	X
21	36	IV	Menstrual		X	X
22	36	IV	Menstrual		X	X
23	34	III	Menstrual		X	X
24	38	II	Menstrual		X	X
25	46	IV	Menstrual		X	
26	34	IV	Menstrual		X	
27	41	IV	Menstrual		X	

Case no., paired ectopic and eutopic samples; rAF, Revised American Fertility Society; q-PCR, real-time validation; IHC, immunohistochemistry.

starting from 300 ng of total RNA using the Message AmplIII kit (Ambion, Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Each cRNA was then fragmented to a length of 20–200 bp before hybridisation to Genechip HGU133A 2.0 arrays (Affymetrix, CA^{Q5}). Hybridisation, washing, staining and scanner procedures were done using a Genechip Affymetrix station (Fluidics station 450, GeneChip Scanner 3000) as recommended by manufacturer. Laser scanning generated digitised image data files and CEL file that were used for the subsequent statistical analysis.

Probe set intensities were obtained by means of RMA method (Irizarry et al., 2003) and normalisation was done according to quantiles method (Bolstad et al., 2003). Dataset complexity was reduced applying an interquartile filter ($IQR > 0.25$) and retaining probe sets with expression signal $\geq \log_2(100) = 6.64$ in at least 25% of the arrays (von Heydebreck et al., 2004).

Transcripts differentially expressed between ectopic and eutopic endometrium in the affected women and healthy specimens were detected using the so-called rank product non-parametric method (Breitling et al., 2004). This method addresses the multiple comparison problem and performs *P*-value correction by false-discovery rate (FDR), comparing the true rank product distribution with a random one defined permutating gene labels in each of the arrays under analysis. Here, we have used 500 permutations and a threshold of percentage of false positive predictions (pfp) of 0.05.

Expression similarity between ectopic and eutopic endometrium in the affected women versus the control endometrium was evaluated using the integrative correlation methods (Parmigiani et al., 2004). Parmigiani has devised an integrative correlation coefficient (ICC) for quantification of the extent to which independent studies can be reliably analysed together for the systematic comparison of microarray profiles. ICC ranges between zero and one; values closer to one indicate a strong correlation between the two groups. Specifically, we generated ICC for ectopic versus normal and eutopic versus normal. These pair-wise correlations were plotted between each other and 179 probe sets characterised by divergent behaviour between ectopic and eutopic with respect to normal samples were




Fig. 1. Integrative correlation analysis. ICC of ectopic versus normal and ectopic versus normal are plotted. Genes shown in red are those showing the greater dissimilarity between ectopic and eutopic (see Supplemental Table 1).

selected (Fig. 1, Supplemental Table 1). OneChannelGUI graphical interface package was used to run any step of the described analysis (Sanges et al., 2007). Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA7.0, Ingenuity System, <http://www.ingenuity.com/>) was used to functionally annotate probe sets according to biological processes and canonical pathways and to identify genes potentially associated to embryological development. To this aim, we analysed in detail molecules belonging to the functional categories showing a robust statistical significance (P -value $\leq E-09$) (Table 3). The obtained list was further reduced selecting only genes with a fold change concordant with the predicted IPA activation state. These genes were biologically validated (see below). Hierarchical clustering analyses were done using Tmev tool (<http://www.tm4.org/mev.html>). Microarrays data reported in the manuscript were described in accordance with MIAME guidelines. Microarray data were deposited on GEO database: GSE25628 (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/geo/>).

Quantitative realtime PCR analysis

Total RNA (300 ng) from each sample was converted to cDNA using High-Capacity cDNA Reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies, [CA96](http://www.thermofisher.com)) under conditions described by the supplier. Gene specific primers for the selected genes

reported in Table 2, were designed using Primer Express 2.0 software (Applied Biosystems). GAPDH was used as internal control. Quantitative PCRs were done on an ABI PRISM 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). The entire procedure for qRT-PCR analysis—primer design, reactions, amplicon specificity and determination of gene target expression levels—was performed as previously described (Crispi et al., 2009).

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed on 15 cases (5 for each of the menstrual phase) for a total of 30 specimens (15 eutopic and 15 ectopic endometria samples). Sections from each specimen were cut at 5 mm, mounted on glass and dried overnight at 37°C. All sections were then deparaffinised in xylene, rehydrated through a graded alcohol series and washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). PBS was used for all subsequent washes and for antibody dilution. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by 5% hydrogen peroxide. For immunohistochemistry, tissue sections were heated twice in a microwave oven for 5 min each at 700 W in citrate buffer (pH 6.0). Slides were then incubated at 4°C overnight at 1:100 dilution with the following antibodies: mouse monoclonal to BMP4 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; cat. # ab93939); mouse monoclonal to Thrombospondin 2 (Santa Cruz, [Santa Cruz97](http://www.santacruzbiotech.com), cat. # sc-136238); mouse monoclonal to Follistatin (US Biological, [Swampscott98](http://www.usbiological.com), cat. # F9090-03); and rabbit polyclonal to Serpine 2 (Proteintech, Manchester, UK, cat. # 11303-1-AP). After three washes in PBS to remove the excess of antiserum, the slides were incubated with diluted goat anti-rabbit or anti-mouse biotinylated antibody (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) at 1:200 dilution in PBS-3% non-fat dry milk for 1 h. All the slides were then processed by the ABC method (Vector Laboratories) for 30 min at room temperature. Diaminobenzidine (Vector Laboratories) was used as the final chromogen and haematoxylin was used as the nuclear counter stain. Negative controls for each tissue section were prepared by leaving out the primary antiserum. All samples were processed under the same conditions. The intensity of expression per field (20× original magnification) using light microscopy was calculated and compared in different specimens by two separate observers (A.B. and A.D.) in a double blind fashion and described as: score 0 (absent); score 1 (low); score 2 (moderate); score 3 (intense). An average of 22 fields was observed for each specimen. The percentage of concordance between the two observers was 93% (28 over 30); in the remaining specimens the final score was reached after collegial revision.

Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 5.0H statistical software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA). Paired t -test was used for comparison of two paired groups. Multiple comparisons were performed by the repeated measures ANOVA test with the Bonferroni correction for multiple.

TABLE 2. RT-PCR primer sequences and exon junction of the related target genes

BMP4	Forward	ACCACGAAGAACATCTGGAGAAC	3–4
	Reverse	AGGTTAAAGAGGAACGAAAAGCA	
FST	Forward	TGCATCCCCGTAAAGAAACG	2–3
	Reverse	TGTTCAATTCGGCATTTTTC	
GREM1	Forward	GACAAGGCCCTGCATGTGA	1–2
	Reverse	GCTGGGTTTTGCACCACTCT	
LEFTY2	Forward	TATGGAGCTCAGGGCGACTGTGACCCTGAAGCACCA	4–5
	Reverse	CCCACCTCATCCCTGCAGGTCAATGTACATCTC	
SERP2	Forward	AAAATAACAACAGGGTCAGAAAACCT	6–7
	Reverse	TCITCACTGACTTCAATTTTTCCTT	
THBS2	Forward	AGACGGAAAAGCAAGTGTGTGA	13–14
	Reverse	TGTGGCAGTTGTGTCTTGTG	
GAPDH	Forward	GGAGTCAACGGATTTGGTCGTA	2–3
	Reverse	GAATTTGCCATGGGTGGAAT	

TABLE 3. Top biofunctions related to ectopic deregulated genes

Category	Functions annotation	P-value	Predicted activation State	Molecules
Tissue development	Tissue development	8.44E12	Increased	61
	Development of organ	2.95E09	Increased	44
Cellular development	Differentiation	4.75E10	Increased	49
	Differentiation of cells	5.29E10	Increased	47
Organ development	Development of organ	2.95E09	Increased	44
Organismal development	Development of organ	2.95E09	Increased	44
Embryonic development	Development of organ	2.95E09	Increased	44

Results

Genomewide profiling analyses of ectopic versus eutopic endometrium leads to the identification of genes related to embryological developmental failure

To better understand the molecular pathways involved in the delocalisation and growth of the ectopic endometrium, we have analysed the transcriptomes of ectopic and eutopic endometrium with the aim to identify specific molecules that could account for a primordial and incorrect endometrium delocalisation. The analysis was performed collecting ectopic and eutopic endometrium from each affected woman. As control samples, we analysed endometrium from healthy women.

The clinical samples characteristics are reported in Table 1. Subjects selected for the microarray analysis were eight ectopic endometria and eight matched eutopic endometria from the same patients compared to six-coupled control patients.

Transcription profiling was performed using HGUI33A 2.0 GeneChips and data were analysed using the oneChannelGUI Bioconductor package (Sanges et al., 2007). Specifically, we compared ectopic endometrium and eutopic endometrium versus the healthy samples (see the Materials and Methods Section). The complexity of the data set was reduced removing the non-significant probe sets (i.e., those not expressed and those not changing).

The complexity of the data set was reduced removing the non-significant probe sets (i.e., those not expressed and those not changing). Filtering procedures were used to reduce microarray data complexity allowing to improvement of the statistical power of the dataset. In our analysis the number of genes evaluated was reduced by applying an interquartile filter ($IQR > 0.25$) retaining probe sets with expression signal $\geq \log_2(100) = 6.64$ in at least 25% of the arrays (von Heydebreck et al., 2004). This filtering procedure resulted especially useful in the case of genome-wide arrays as often a minor of all genes are expressed at all in the cell type under consideration and allowed to reduce the initial set of 54675 probe sets to 10420 probes sets. Differential expression between ectopic and eutopic endometrium was detected using Rank Product (Breitling et al., 2004). Using a false positive predictions threshold < 0.05 we detected a total of 1429 probe sets that were used for further analysis.

ICC (Parmigiani et al., 2004) was used to identify the group of probe sets characterised by a divergent behaviour between ectopic and eutopic samples. With respect to other statistics ICC offers the possibility to detect the differences between the two tissues limiting the risk of underestimating gene expression differences that could due to high sample variance and low numerosity of the dataset.

Specifically, ICC for ectopic and eutopic endometrium versus the control endometrium was calculated and the most divergent probe sets were selected (Fig. 1, Supplemental Table 1).

From the above-mentioned filtering approach a total of 150 genes (179 probe sets) resulted with a clear opposite

behaviour between ectopic and eutopic samples (Fig. 2). These 150 genes were filtered using a 1.2-fold change cut-off in order to eliminate non-significant genes. The resulting 120 genes were functionally classified through an IPA Core Analysis (IPA7.0, Ingenuity System). IPA associates molecules under analysis with known biological functions and diseases. The link between a category and the associated molecules is defined by a *P*-value. In general more molecules are involved more likely the association is not due to random chance, and thus more significant is the *P*-value. The analysis showed that most of our 120 genes had a strong association with Tissue and Organ Development, as functional category, and with Reproductive System disease, as main disorder category.

The molecules of our dataset associated to these biological functions were analysed to select genes potentially involved in developmental processes and deregulated in ectopic endometrium. IPA functional categories describe the link between a category and the corresponding molecules involved and, in general, more molecules are involved more likely the association is not due to random chance, and thus more significant is the *P*-value. Interestingly the *P*-value levels of the categories reported in Table 3 indicate a strong likelihood of a tight association with the molecules of our dataset. Furthermore most of them showed an expression concordant with the IPA predicted activation state.

A detailed analysis of the molecules belonging to the categories listed in Table 3 allowed us to obtain a smaller list of genes involved in gonad organogenesis or in wound healing processes common to all of them (Table 4).

To find functional connections among these genes we performed an IPA pathway analysis, that adding relationship between molecules that met different criteria (type of molecules, tissues and cells, diseases etc.). As shown in Figure 3 all these genes were connected by a functional relationship.

To independently validate the transcriptional signatures, the expression of some representative genes was confirmed using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) (Table 4).

The differential gene expression defined by the microarray analysis is independent from the phase of the menstrual phase

The genes validated by qRT-PCR are all associated to gonad development and tissue remodelling, so their deregulation might be associated, during the migration phase, to the erroneous localisation of the cells that will be involved in uterus formation thus generating the ectopic endometrium.

To support this hypothesis we analysed the expression of most of the selected genes (Fig. 3) in all the samples (Table 1) comparing the expression between the eutopic and ectopic and confirmed their expression levels. In addition, to assess a relationship between differential expression in ectopic and eutopic samples, we performed an agglomerative hierarchical clustering that separates the ectopic from the eutopic and clearly shows that the expression values did not correlate with the menstrual phase (Fig. 4).




Fig. 2. Hierarchical agglomerative clustering of the transcripts showing a divergent behaviour between ectopic and eutopic samples. For each gene the expression (red upregulated, green downregulated) is shown.

Finally, we performed immunohistochemical analysis in order to detect protein expression of the selected genes in the endometriotic tissues in all menstrual phases; in detail, we selected 5 patients for each phase of the menstrual cycle, having a total of 15 patients analysed. Indeed, we were able to demonstrate the expression of all the selected genes in the

endometriotic tissues at the different menstrual phases (both in the epithelial and in the stromal compartment). In Figure 5, some representative immunostainings are depicted. Interestingly, when we compared the expression levels of these proteins in the eutopic endometrium with respect to the ectopic endometrium, we found that all the proteins were significantly higher expressed in the endometriotic lesions, confirming the data obtained at transcriptional level.

Discussion

Endometriosis is defined as the presence of endometrial glands and stroma at extra-uterine sites. It is a multi-factorial disorder responsible for infertility and pelvic pain that affects approximately 2–10% of women of reproductive age (Giudice, 2010). The multi-factorial aetiology allows taking into consideration different theories on its pathogenesis, that is currently still not completely understood.

In the last years, genome wide analysis based on microarrays have provided a powerful method to analyse simultaneously expression levels of thousands of genes. This approach has mainly been used in endometriosis studies, to identify differentially expressed gene in ectopic endometrium versus the corresponding eutopic counterpart or the healthy endometrium. The majority of these studies aimed to identify molecular biomarkers useful for non-invasive diagnosis or for development of new-targeted therapies. These articles were often referred to ovarian endometriosis samples or performed to detect genes involved in the implantation failure correlated to endometriosis. Nevertheless, several endometriosis studies based on microarray analysis have used samples related to menstrual cycle phase (Burney et al., 2007; Eyster et al., 2007) or eutopic endometrium from affected and unaffected women (Burney et al., 2007; Sha et al., 2007). To the best of our knowledge, very few studies compared ectopic and eutopic lesions analysing only some of the different cell type contained in the lesion (Matsuzaki et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2006).

Recent findings reported by us demonstrated the presence of ectopic endometrium in female foetus (Signorile et al., 2009b, 2010a, 2012a) and the possibility to generate an endometriosis-like phenotype in mice, after pre-natal exposition to the SERM bisphenol (Signorile et al., 2010b), thus suggesting that aetiology could be due to embryogenesis defects. Furthermore, we have also demonstrated that pre-natal exposition to bisphenol was able to cause a severe impairment of the ovaries in term of number of primordial and developing follicles (Signorile et al., 2012b). Interestingly, the observation that in our experimental setting, the effects on the ovarian follicles were variable and not all the treated animals displayed an endometriosis-like phenotype, further sustains the hypothesis of a complicated mechanism underlying the effects of SERMs, that includes genetic–epigenetic–neuroendocrine pathways.

To support molecularly this theory and to achieve new insights into the pathogenesis of this multifaceted disease, we have analysed the gene expression patterns of the ectopic endometrium. This study has analysed deep endometriotic lesions and their eutopic counterpart in comparison with normal endometrium applying a methodology, the integrative correlation (Parmigiani et al., 2004), which is particularly suitable to identify dissimilarities between transcription profiles. Subsequently, we observed that *Tissue Development* and *Organism Development* were the main biological categories associated to genes depicted by ICC method. Genes showing a different expression in ectopic endometrium were further analysed with the aim to detect molecules involved in gonad organogenesis. Their expression could support the hypothesis that endometriosis might be originated by alterations in the fine-tuning of the female genital structures organogenesis.

TABLE 4. Common genes belonging to the selected top functional categories

Gene	Description	Fold change	Pathway associated	q-PCR	IHC
BMP4	Bone morphogenetic protein 4	1.217	Yes	Yes	Yes
EGR3	Early growth response 3	1.183	No	—	—
FST	Follistatin	1.300	Yes	Yes	Yes
FGF7	Fibroblast growth factor 7	1.959	Yes	—	—
GATA6	GATA binding protein 6	4.556	No	—	—
GREM1	Gremlin 1	2.213	Yes	Yes	—
LEFTY	Left-right determination factor 2	1.998	Yes	Yes	—
SERPINE1	Serpin peptidase inhibitor, member 1	1.405	Yes	—	—
SERPINE2	Serpin peptidase inhibitor, member 2	1.215	Yes	Yes	Yes
THBS1	Thrombospondin 1	1.391	Yes	—	—
THBS2	Thrombospondin 2	1.327	Yes	Yes	Yes

q-PCR, real-time validation; IHC, immunohistochemistry.

A small list of genes associated to these specific functions was generated and the expression was validated (Table 4) by q-PCR and immunohistochemistry both in ectopic and eutopic endometria. Interestingly their expression was not related to the samples menstrual cycle phase.

Among the validated genes some are involved in developmental processes. LEFTY2, and BMP4 are two members of the transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta) super-family that includes growth and differentiation proteins (Hinck, 2012). LEFTY2, is a gene requested in the vertebrate development for a correct left-right embryonic body plan, determining the right asymmetrical position of the internal organs (Hamada et al., 2002). It is expressed in the endometrium, where it has a role in the remodelling of the extracellular matrix (Cornet et al., 2002). LEFTY2 has also been associated to infertility, since its incorrect expression can block the decidualisation process (Tang et al., 2010).

BMP4 regulates different developmental processes and has a role at various differentiation stages. It is involved in the gonadal development promoting the formation of the precursor of gametes, the primordial germ cells (Ying and Zhao, 2001). The BMP4 deregulation is particularly intriguing

since it has never associated before to endometriosis. BMP4 is involved in the vagina differentiation from the Müllerian duct: a process that consists in the invagination of the coelomic epithelium followed by an elongation step. BMP4 expression, indeed, increases in the vagina primordium where it acts inducing the mesoderm-Müllerian duct differentiation (Cai, 2009).

GREM1 instead belongs to the BMP antagonist family, that acts binding the BMP receptors (Walsh et al., 2010). The incorrect expression ratio between BMP and BMP antagonists has been associated to different diseases. Deregulated expression of GREM1 was associated to osteoarthritis progression and it has been shown to be up-regulated in different tumours. Also the expression of GREM1 was reported to show higher levels in serum in women affected with endometriosis (Sha et al., 2009). Interestingly during the development GREM1 is requested for the mesenchymal-metanephric transition that is the initial step of the ureter growth (Michos et al., 2004). Considering the fact that in congenital urogenital anomalies, frequently ureteric ectopia is associated to uterus abnormalities, it could be possible to hypothesize a role for GREM1 also in the correct Müllerian duct formation (Michos et al., 2004).




Fig. 3. Functional relationship between deregulated genes belonging to the tissue and organ development categories. For each gene the relationship and the expression (red upregulated) are shown. Arrows indicate the direction of the relationship.




Fig. 4. Hierarchical agglomerative clustering of gene expression levels in the ectopic and eutopic samples at different cycle phase. For each gene the expression (red upregulated, green down regulated) is shown. Numbers are referred to the different phases: 113 proliferative; 1419 secretive; 2027 menstrual. Samples were statistical analysed using a oneway ANOVA ($P < 0.0001$) to confirm differential expression.

Follistatin (FST) is another BMP antagonist originally known for its role in inhibiting activin A, a TGF- β member, in the ovary. It has been reported to have different biological role being involved not only in follicle maturation but also in muscle development (Matzuk et al., 1995) and in wound healing process (Vankell et al., 2001). Recent studies have reported an up-regulation of FST in ovarian endometriosis and the possibility to consider it as a diagnostic bio-marker (Florio et al., 2009).

Other genes in the pathway are linked—directly or indirectly—to tissue remodelling processes. THBS2 and THBS1 belong to the same class of glycoprotein with a role in cell–cell interaction. They are involved in wound healing and inflammation, resulting up-regulated only in tissues with cyclical remodelling. Mice mutants for both genes have been shown to have delayed healing processes (Agah et al., 2002).

SERPINE1 and SERPINE2 belong to the serine protease inhibitor (SERPIN) family that are inhibitors of tissue plasminogen activator and are involved in tissue remodelling. SERPINE1 expression was found to be increased in ovarian cancer in women with concomitant endometriosis with a role in the invasion and metastasis (Komiya et al., 2011). SERPINE2 has been reported up-regulated in human endometrium mainly in the secretory phase suggesting a role in implantation (Lee et al., 2011).

Finally, we investigated the presence of other functional categories already associated to endometriosis such as inflammation, cell proliferation and tissue remodelling (Eyster et al., 2007; Hull et al., 2008; Aghajanova and Giudice, 2011). Immunological factors and angiogenesis play an important role in the pathogenesis of endometriosis characterised by alterations in the function of peritoneal macrophages and other cells of the immune system (natural killer cells and lymphocytes). The increased activation of the peritoneal macrophages is also accompanied by the production of various growth factors that stimulate endometrial cell proliferation and enhance the remodelling and regeneration of ectopic endometrium. Inflammation can promote tissue remodelling in the healing phase through the activation of several molecules as integrins, extra cellular matrix (ECM) and cytoskeleton




Fig. 5. Representative immunohistochemistry staining of Serpin (A), BMP4 (B), Follistatin (C) and Thrombospondin 2 (D) in ectopic endometrium tissue. Samples were statistical analysed using a oneway ANOVA ($P < 0.0001$) to confirm differential expression (ABC, original magnification 20 $\times$).

proteins. In agreement with other studies, we found deregulation of molecules related to inflammation as prostaglandin synthase, interleukin receptor and chemokines and to cell proliferation such as fibroblast growth factors and their relative receptors.

We also checked for the concordance of our data with the two previous studies that analysed paired eutopic and ectopic samples either from ovarian endometriosis or from peritoneal endometriosis (Eyster et al., 2007; Hull et al., 2008). Overall, our results were concordant with both studies at least for gene function since in a comparison analysis we found “top disease” functions related to Inflammatory Response, Reproductive System Disease and Cancer (data not shown). Comparing our data with these studies, we were able to find a similar gene dis-regulation, at least for the molecules associated to the cyclic cellular processes of endometriosis and to the main functional categories already associated to the disease such as cell proliferation, inflammation and tissue remodelling.

From all the three studies, it is evident that endometriosis involves an enhanced immune response and several pathways related to the growth and maintenance of endometrium in the ectopic sites.

The novelty of our study is that we have evidenced some molecules potentially involved in the pathology onset, and expressed independently from the usual hormonal stimuli in the ectopic tissue.

Conclusion

The results of this analysis add another small piece contributing to the definition of the complex puzzle, that is the pathogenesis of endometriosis. The fact that several genes involved in embryogenesis are differentially expressed in endometriotic tissues and that this expression pattern is independent from the menstrual and hormonal phase, supports the hypothesis that endometriosis might be generated by a remodelling in gene expression during embryogenesis, at least in part due to the action of SERM substances, that causes an alteration of the fine-tuning of the female genital structures organogenesis. This is substantially in accordance with the recent finding of foetal endometriosis in women and with the reported ability of bisphenol, when administered pre-natally, to induce an endometriosis-like phenotype in a murine model.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Fondazione Italiana Endometriosis. M.T.P. was supported by an I.N.B.B. fellowship. We thank Anna Maria Aliperti for help in the revision of the manuscript.

Literature Cited

- Agah A, Kyriakides TR, Lawler J, Bornstein P. 2002. The lack of thrombospondin-1 (TSP1) dictates the course of wound healing in double-TSP1/TSP2-null mice. *Am J Pathol* 161:831–839.
- Aghajanova L, Giudice LC. 2011. Molecular evidence for differences in endometrium in severe versus mild endometriosis. *Reprod Sci* 18:229–251.
- Baldi A, Campioni M, Signorile PG. 2008. Endometriosis: Pathogenesis, diagnosis, therapy and association with cancer (review). *Oncol Rep* 19:843–846.
- Bolstad BM, Irizarry RA, Astrand M, Speed TP. 2003. A comparison of normalization methods for high density oligonucleotide array data based on variance and bias. *Bioinformatics* 19:185–193.
- Breitling R, Armengaud P, Amtmann A, Herzyk P. 2004. Rank products: A simple, yet powerful, new method to detect differentially regulated genes in replicated microarray experiments. *FEBS Lett* 573:83–92.
- Bulun SE. 2009. Endometriosis. *N Engl J Med* 360:268–279.
- Burney RO, Talbi S, Hamilton AE, Vo KC, Nyegaard M, Nezhat CR, Lessey BA, Giudice LC. 2007. Gene expression analysis of endometrium reveals progesterone resistance and candidate susceptibility genes in women with endometriosis. *Endocrinology* 148:3814–3826.
- Cai Y. 2009. Revisiting old vaginal topics: Conversion of the Mullerian vagina and origin of the “sinus” vagina. *Int J Dev Biol* 53:925–934.
- Chan RW, Schwab KE, Gargett CE. 2004. Clonogenicity of human endometrial epithelial and stromal cells. *Biol Reprod* 70:1738–1750.

- Cornet PB, Picquet C, Lemoine P, Osteen KG, Bruner-Tran KL, Tabibzadeh S, Courttoy PJ, Eekhout Y, Marbaix E, Henriet P. 2002. Regulation and function of LEFTY-A/EBAF in the human endometrium. mRNA expression during the menstrual cycle, control by progesterone, and effect on matrix metalloproteinases. *J Biol Chem* 277:42496–42504.
- Crispi S, Calogero RA, Santini M, Mellone P, Vincenzi B, Citro G, Vicidomini G, Fasano S, Meccariello R, Cobellis G, Menegozzo S, Pierantoni R, Facciolo F, Baldi A, Menegozzo M. 2009. Global gene expression profiling of human pleural mesotheliomas: Identification of matrix metalloproteinase 14 (MMP-14) as potential tumour target. *PLoS ONE* 4:e7016.
- Du H, Taylor HS. 2007. Contribution of bone marrow-derived stem cells to endometrium and endometriosis. *Stem Cells* 25:2082–2086.
- Eyster KM, Klinkova O, Kennedy V, Hansen KA. 2007. Whole genome deoxyribonucleic acid microarray analysis of gene expression in ectopic versus eutopic endometrium. *Fertil Steril* 88:1505–1533.
- Florio P, Reis FM, Torres PB, Calonaci F, Abrao MS, Nascimento LL, Franchini M, Cianferoni L, Petraglia F. 2009. High serum follistatin levels in women with ovarian endometriosis. *Hum Reprod* 24:2600–2606.
- Giudice LC. 2010. Clinical practice. Endometriosis. *N Engl J Med* 362:2389–2398.
- Hamada H, Meno C, Watanabe D, Saijoh Y. 2002. Establishment of vertebrate left-right asymmetry. *Nat Rev Genet* 3:103–113.
- Hinck AP. 2012. Structural studies of the TGF-beta and their receptors—Insights into evolution of the TGF-beta superfamily. *FEBS Lett*.
- Hull ML, Escareno CR, Goddard JM, Doig JR, Johnson CM, Phillips SC, Smith SK, Tavaré S, Print CG, Charnock-Jones DS. 2008. Endometrial-peritoneal interactions during endometriotic lesion establishment. *Am J Pathol* 173:700–715.
- Irizarry RA, Bolstad BM, Collin F, Cope LM, Hobbs B, Speed TP. 2003. Summaries of Affymetrix GeneChip probe level data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 31:e15.
- Komiyama S, Aoki D, Saitoh E, Komiyama M, Udagawa Y. 2011. Biological significance of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 expression in ovarian clear cell adenocarcinoma. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol* 32:611–614.
- Lee RK, Fan CC, Hwu YM, Lu CH, Lin MH, Chen YJ, Li SH. 2011. SERPINE2, an inhibitor of plasminogen activators, is highly expressed in the human endometrium during the secretory phase. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 9:38.
- Matsuura K, Ohtake H, Katabuchi H, Okamura H. 1999. Coelomic metaplasia theory of endometriosis: Evidence from in vivo studies and an in vitro experimental model. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 47:18–20; discussion 12910–20.
- Matsuzaki S, Canis M, Pouly JL, Botchorishvili R, Dechelotte PJ, Mage G. 2006. Differential expression of genes in eutopic and ectopic endometrium from patients with ovarian endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 86:548–553.
- Matzuk MM, Lu N, Vogel H, Sellheyer K, Roop DR, Bradley A. 1995. Multiple defects and perinatal death in mice deficient in follistatin. *Nature* 374:360–363.
- Michos O, Panman L, Vintersten K, Beier K, Zeller R, Zuniga A. 2004. Gremlin-mediated BMP antagonism induces the epithelial-mesenchymal feedback signaling controlling metanephric kidney and limb organogenesis. *Development* 131:3401–3410.
- Parmigiani G, Garrett-Mayer ES, Anbazhagan R, Gabrielson E. 2004. A cross-study comparison of gene expression studies for the molecular classification of lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 10:2922–2927.
- Sampson JA. 1927. Metastatic or embolic endometriosis, due to the menstrual dissemination of endometrial tissue into the venous circulation. *Am J Pathol* 3:93–110, 143.
- Sanges R, Cordero F, Calogero RA. 2007. oneChannelGUI: A graphical interface to Bioconductor tools, designed for life scientists who are not familiar with R language. *Bioinformatics* 23:3406–3408.
- Sha G, Wu D, Zhang L, Chen X, Lei M, Sun H, Lin S, Lang J. 2007. Differentially expressed genes in human endometrial endothelial cells derived from eutopic endometrium of patients with endometriosis compared with those from patients without endometriosis. *Hum Reprod* 22:3159–3169.
- Sha G, Zhang Y, Zhang C, Wan Y, Zhao Z, Li C, Lang J. 2009. Elevated levels of gremlin-1 in eutopic endometrium and peripheral serum in patients with endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 91:350–358.
- Signorile PG, Baldi A. 2010. Endometriosis: New concepts in the pathogenesis. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 42:778–780.
- Signorile PG, Campioni M, Vincenzi B, D’Avino A, Baldi A. 2009a. Rectovaginal septum endometriosis: An immunohistochemical analysis of 62 cases. *In Vivo* 23:459–464.
- Signorile PG, Baldi F, Bussani R, D’Armiento M, De Falco M, Baldi A. 2009b. Ectopic endometrium in human foetuses is a common event and sustains the theory of mullerianosis in the pathogenesis of endometriosis, a disease that predisposes to cancer. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* 28:49.
- Signorile PG, Baldi F, Bussani R, D’Armiento M, De Falco M, Boccellino M, Quagliuolo L, Baldi A. 2010a. New evidence of the presence of endometriosis in the human fetus. *Reprod Biomed Online* 21:142–147.
- Signorile PG, Spugnini EP, Mita L, Mellone P, D’Avino A, Bianco M, Diano N, Caputo L, Rea F, Viceconte R, Portaccio M, Viggiano E, Citro G, Pierantoni R, Sica V, Vincenzi B, Mita DG, Baldi F, Baldi A. 2010b. Pre-natal exposure of mice to bisphenol A elicits an endometriosis-like phenotype in female offspring. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 168:318–325.
- Signorile PG, Baldi F, Bussani R, Viceconte R, Bulzoni P, D’Armiento M, D’Avino A, Baldi A. 2012a. Embryologic origin of endometriosis: Analysis of 101 human female fetuses. *J Cell Physiol* 227:1653–1656.
- Signorile PG, Spugnini EP, Citro G, Viceconte R, Vincenzi B, Baldi F, Baldi A. 2012b. Endocrine disruptors in utero cause ovarian damages linked to endometriosis. *Front Biosci* 4:1724–1730.
- Tang M, Naidu D, Hearing P, Handwerker S, Tabibzadeh S. 2010. LEFTY, a member of the transforming growth factor-beta superfamily, inhibits uterine stromal cell differentiation: A novel autocrine role. *Endocrinology* 151:1320–1330.
- von Heydebreck A, Huber W, Gentleman RC. 2004. Differential expression of the Bioconductor Project. *Bioconductor Project Working Papers* Working Paper 7.
- Walsh DW, Godson C, Brazil DP, Martin F. 2010. Extracellular BMP-antagonist regulation in development and disease: Tied up in knots. *Trends Cell Biol* 20:244–256.
- Wankell M, Munz B, Hubner G, Hans V, Wolf E, Goppelt A, Werner S. 2001. Impaired wound healing in transgenic mice overexpressing the activin antagonist follistatin in the epidermis. *EMBO J* 20:5361–5372.
- Wu Y, Kajdacsy-Balla A, Strawn E, Basir Z, Halverson G, Jailwala P, Wang Y, Wang X, Ghosh S, Guo SW. 2006. Transcriptional characterizations of differences between eutopic and ectopic endometrium. *Endocrinology* 147:232–246.
- Ying Y, Zhao GQ. 2001. Cooperation of endoderm-derived BMP2 and extraembryonic ectoderm-derived BMP4 in primordial germ cell generation in the mouse. *Dev Biol* 232:484–492.

AUTHOR QUERY FORM

JOURNAL: JOURNAL OF CELLULAR PHYSIOLOGY

Article: jcp24358

Dear Author,

During the copyediting of your paper, the following queries arose. Please respond to these by annotating your proofs with the necessary changes/additions using the E-annotation guidelines attached after the last page of this article.

We recommend that you provide additional clarification of answers to queries by entering your answers on the query sheet, in addition to the text mark-up.

Query No.	Query	Remark
Q1	The Journal's copyeditors have taken care to format your authorship according to journal style (First name, Middle Initial, Surname). In the event a formatting error escaped their inspection, or there was insufficient information to apply journal style, please take a moment to review all author names and sequences to ensure the accuracy of the authorship in the published article. Please note that this information will also affect external indexes referencing this paper (e.g., PubMed).	
Q2	Please provide the manufacturer's city name.	Grand Island, CA
Q3	Please provide the manufacturer's city name.	Grand Island, CA
Q4	Please provide the manufacturer's city name.	Grand Island, CA
Q5	Please provide the manufacturer's city name.	Santa Clara, CA
Q6	Please provide the manufacturer's city name.	Grand Island, CA
Q7	Please provide the manufacturer's state name.	Dallas, TX
Q8	Please provide the manufacturer's state name.	Salem, MA
Q9	Please provide the volume number and page range.	6 8
Q10	Please check the page range.	8

INSTRUCTIONS FOR CHECKING PAGE PROOFS

A PDF page proof of your article is provided with these instructions. Its purpose is for you to:

- Proofread your article.
- Answer any queries (which, if present, are in a query list at the end of the article).
- Check the content and positioning of tables and figures.

It is important that you check this proof very carefully and answer all the queries. Please note that only essential corrections can be made at this stage. Also note that changes you make to your article that do not comply with the style of the Journal and those that are grammatically incorrect will not be incorporated.

Proofreading instructions

Read over your article carefully, and check that:

- There are no errors in the article (including data, equations and references).
- Author and address details are accurate.
- Content and positioning of tables and figures is correct (note that some photographs in the file may appear blurry, as figures in the PDF are low resolution).
- Special characters such as figure legend symbols and Greek letters have not corrupted.
- Any previously submitted amendments have been incorporated correctly.

Queries


- Any queries are listed on the last page of the proof, with a corresponding number in the margin next to the relevant text.
- Please ensure all queries are answered in full.

Return of approval to publish


- Add corrections or answers to any queries using e-Annotation. The instructions for using e-Annotation tools are on the following pages.
- Retain a copy of your corrections for your records.
- Email the Production Editor your approval to publish your article (either with or without amendment) and any corrections required. The Production Editor's contact details are given in the covering email.
- Prompt notification of your approval to publish your article is very much appreciated.
- Please contact the Production Editor if you have any queries.

Required software to e-Annotate PDFs: Adobe Acrobat Professional or Adobe Reader (version 8.0 or above). (Note that this document uses screenshots from Adobe Reader X)
The latest version of Acrobat Reader can be downloaded for free at: <http://get.adobe.com/reader/>

Once you have Acrobat Reader open on your computer, click on the [Comment](#) tab at the right of the toolbar:



This will open up a panel down the right side of the document. The majority of tools you will use for annotating your proof will be in the [Annotations](#) section, pictured opposite. We've picked out some of these tools below:




1. [Replace \(Ins\)](#) Tool – for replacing text.



Strikes a line through text and opens up a text box where replacement text can be entered.

How to use it

- Highlight a word or sentence.
- Click on the [Replace \(Ins\)](#) icon in the Annotations section.
- Type the replacement text into the blue box that appears.




2. [Strikethrough \(Del\)](#) Tool – for deleting text.



Strikes a red line through text that is to be deleted.

How to use it

- Highlight a word or sentence.
- Click on the [Strikethrough \(Del\)](#) icon in the Annotations section.




3. [Add note to text](#) Tool – for highlighting a section to be changed to bold or italic.



Highlights text in yellow and opens up a text box where comments can be entered.

How to use it

- Highlight the relevant section of text.
- Click on the [Add note to text](#) icon in the Annotations section.
- Type instruction on what should be changed regarding the text into the yellow box that appears.




4. [Add sticky note](#) Tool – for making notes at specific points in the text.



Marks a point in the proof where a comment needs to be highlighted.

How to use it

- Click on the [Add sticky note](#) icon in the Annotations section.
- Click at the point in the proof where the comment should be inserted.
- Type the comment into the yellow box that appears.




5. **Attach File** Tool – for inserting large amounts of text or replacement figures.



Inserts an icon linking to the attached file in the appropriate place in the text.

How to use it

- Click on the **Attach File** icon in the Annotations section.
- Click on the proof to where you'd like the attached file to be linked.
- Select the file to be attached from your computer or network.
- Select the colour and type of icon that will appear in the proof. Click OK.



6. **Add stamp** Tool – for approving a proof if no corrections are required.



Inserts a selected stamp onto an appropriate place in the proof.

How to use it

- Click on the **Add stamp** icon in the Annotations section.
- Select the stamp you want to use. (The **Approved** stamp is usually available directly in the menu that appears).
- Click on the proof where you'd like the stamp to appear. (Where a proof is to be approved as it is, this would normally be on the first page).

of the business cycle, starting with the
on perfect competition, constant ret
production. In this environment goods
extra profits and the economy is marked
he new-Keynesian model. The New-Key
otaki (1987), has introduced produc
general equilibrium models with nomin
and market clearing. Most of this litera

APPROVED

Drawing Markups




7. **Drawing Markups** Tools – for drawing shapes, lines and freeform annotations on proofs and commenting on these marks.


Allows shapes, lines and freeform annotations to be drawn on proofs and for comment to be made on these marks..

How to use it

- Click on one of the shapes in the **Drawing Markups** section.
- Click on the proof at the relevant point and draw the selected shape with the cursor.
- To add a comment to the drawn shape, move the cursor over the shape until an arrowhead appears.
- Double click on the shape and type any text in the red box that appears.



For further information on how to annotate proofs, click on the **Help** menu to reveal a list of further options:



COLOR REPRODUCTION IN YOUR ARTICLE

Color figures were included with the final manuscript files that we received for your article. Because of the high cost of color printing, we can only print figures in color if authors cover the expense.

Please indicate if you would like your figures to be printed in color or black and white. Color images will be reproduced online in *Wiley Online Library* at no charge, whether or not you opt for color printing.

Failure to return this form will result in the publication of your figures in black and white.

JOURNAL JOURNAL OF CELLULAR PHYSIOLOGY VOLUME _____ ISSUE _____

TITLE OF MANUSCRIPT _____

MS. NO. _____ NO. OF COLOR PAGES _____ AUTHOR(S) _____

No. Color Pages	Color Charges	No. Color Pages	Color Charges	No. Color Pages	Color Charges
1	500	5	2500	9	4500
2	1000	6	3000	10	5000
3	1500	7	3500	11	5500
4	2000	8	4000	12	6000

Please contact jcpprod@wiley.com for a quote if you have more than 12 pages of color

☒ Please print my figures in black and white

☐ Please print my figures in color

BILL TO:	Purchase
Name _____	Order No. _____
Institution _____	Phone _____
Address _____	
	Fax _____
	E-mail _____



Additional reprint and journal issue purchases

Should you wish to purchase additional copies of your article, please click on the link and follow the instructions provided:
<https://caesar.sheridan.com/reprints/redir.php?pub=10089&acro=JCP>

Corresponding authors are invited to inform their co-authors of the reprint options available.

Please note that regardless of the form in which they are acquired, reprints should not be resold, nor further disseminated in electronic form, nor deployed in part or in whole in any marketing, promotional or educational contexts without authorization from Wiley. Permissions requests should be directed to mailto: permissionsus@wiley.com

For information about 'Pay-Per-View and Article Select' click on the following link: <http://wileyonlinelibrary.com/ppv>