

1 NAVI SINGH DHILLON (SBN 279537)
navidhillon@paulhastings.com
2 CHRISTOPHER J. CARR (SBN 184076)
chriscarr@paulhastings.com
3 SEAN D. UNGER (SBN 231694)
seanunger@paulhastings.com
4 LUCAS V. GRUNBAUM (SBN 314180)
lucasgrunbaum@paulhastings.com
5 PAUL HASTINGS LLP
101 California Street, 48th Floor
6 San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 856-7000
7

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
PICAYUNE RANCHERIA OF THE
CHUKCHANSI INDIANS
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12

13 PICAYUNE RANCHERIA OF THE
CHUKCHANSI INDIANS, a federally
14 recognized Indian tribe,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 THE CHAIR OF THE NATIONAL INDIAN
GAMING COMMISSION, et al.,

18 Defendants.
19

20 STATION CASINOS LLC, a Nevada limited
liability corporation, et al.,

21 Nominal Defendants.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 1:26-cv-04343-KES-SAB

**PICAYUNE'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE**

Date: October 5, 2026
Time: 1:30 PM
Judge: Hon. Kirk E. Sherriff
Courtroom: 6, 7th Floor

Action Filed: June 5, 2026
Trial Date: None

Picayune submits this brief reply in support of its request for judicial notice of a tribal ordinance and resolution. ECF No. 34-10. Official acts of a federally recognized tribe have independent legal significance and are the proper subject of judicial notice. Indeed, the Ninth Circuit just last week confirmed that courts may take “judicial notice of both tribal ordinances as public legal enactments capable of accurate and ready determination from official sources.” *Blue Lake Rancheria v. Kalshi, Inc.*, No. 25-7504, 2026 WL 2728466, ---F.3d---, at *10, n.7 (9th Cir. Sept. 16, 2026). North Fork and Red Rock do not contend otherwise.

As it relates to the objection that the matters that are the subject of judicial notice cannot be accepted for their truth, Picayune observes Red Rock and North Fork did not object to the declaration of Chairperson Kamalani or any of its exhibits. And the time to object set by the Civil Local Rules has passed. Local Rule 230(c) (deadline to submit any opposition to a motion is 14 days after motion is filed). So, the entirety of the Kamalani declaration is before the Court and may be considered substantively.

We ask that the Court grant the request for judicial notice.

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: September 21, 2026

PAUL HASTINGS LLP

By: /s/ Navi Singh Dhillon
NAVI SINGH DHILLON

Attorneys for Plaintiff
PICAYUNE RANCHERIA OF THE
CHUKCHANSI INDIANS