An Analysis of CO2 Emission Reduction



### Life Cycle Assessment BikeBox XL versus Cardboard Box

The impact analysis compared the CO2 eq emissions associated with a single-use versus reusable packaging system, accounting for key variables. In single-use Cardboard Boxes, over three quarters of the carbon emissions stem from the raw material processing phase. However, with reusable packaging, a much greater proportion of the emissions stem from the transportation phase, given that the manufacturing emissions are spread over many uses. A comparison of the single-use and reusable systems on a per cycle basis can be found below:

### Life Cycle Methology

The system boundaries for the Fast-Track LCA comparison is based on a Cradle to Cradle (upcycling) system - that is, measuring the unit processes within the material and manufacturing phase, transportation, use phase, and finally resulting in end-of-life recycling process.

The following analysis is highlighting the significant lower CO2 Emissions when using a circular BikePackaging solution rather than a single-use cardboard box.

Comparison is made between 1 BikeBox(BBP-DF-XLarge) that is designed to be used 30 consecutive times before being recycled and 30 standard Cardboard Bike Boxes that are designed to be used once before being recycled.

The generation of microplastics or downcycling was not considered in this study for the following reasons: Since the reusable containers are made of pure monomaterial polypropylene and remain under the responsibility of circular logistics throughout the entire cycle process, we can guarantee that all packaging:

is kept in circulation 30 times,

- is repaired when necessary,
- is 100% recycled and processed into new boxes at the end of its life,

does not end up in landfills, thus preventing the generation of microplastics.

An Analysis of CO2 Emission Reduction

### Base Case

### **BikeBox**

Reusable Packaging: 100% Polypropylene of which 60% recycled polypropylene are being added from previous generation BikeBoxes. This figure is based on input by the manufacturer of the used Polypropylene sheeting. Tests are temporarily undertaken to maximise this figure.

Our automated return system ensures a 97% return rate for all boxes through strict control mechanisms. Each box is equipped with a unique RFID, allowing for continuous tracking and rotation. Damaged boxes can be easily repaired.

Manufacturing and Recycling process in Germany to avoid transport.

Transport distance per cycle - CO2 Emissions based on average figures from the International Postal Corporation for parcel deliveries.

Number of cycles = 30

The BikeBox is being returned by postal courier (Paketdienst) each time after use and recycled afer 30 uses.

BikeBox manufacturing carbon footprint is included before it's first use and it's recycling footprint is included after the 30th use.

### Cardboard Bike Box

Cardboard packaging of an equal size as BikeBoxPRO

89% Recycled content.

This is in keeping with European Federation of Corrugated Board Manufacturers' (FEFCO) finding of average recycled content of corrugated cardboard as of 2017.40

Manufacturer in Europe

Disposable packaging use case is from manufacturing to recycling on each cycle.

An Analysis of CO2 Emission Reduction

#### Packaging weight:

BikeBox PRO (BBP-DF-Extra Large) weighs 12,67kg when empty. An equivalent sized Cardboard Box weighs 7 kg.

Weight	kg	
BikeBox (BBP-DF/XL)	12,67	Excluding extra protective packaging
Cardboard Box	7	Excluding extra protective packaging

#### Waste Processing

We are assuming that all materials get recycled at the end-of-life-cycle.

The emissions factor for each waste type includes waste treatment only, any emissions for processing into new materials are excluded.

For waste processing, an emission factor of 0,07kg CO2 per kg of waste is therefore used (Finnish Environment Institute).

#### **Emission Factors**

The following emission factors were used for the calculation

ltem	kgCO2/kg	Source
Polypropylene	2,41	Broogard at al. (2014) for primary production
Cardboard	1,14	Broogard at al. (2014) for primary production
Return Shipping average		Average parcel emits 0,21 CO2/kg if transported
parcel		by road over a diestance of 1000 km with an
		average parcel size of 2.8kg.
		https://www.co2everything.com/co2e-of/freight-
	0,21	shipping
		Considering that the average parcel weight is 2kg,
		we multiplied the rate x6,335 for shipping a
Return Shipping BikeBox	1,33035	BikeBox
Waste treatment general	O,1	Finnish Environment Institute, SYKE

Waste Treatment (BikeBox)	1,267	1,30501	considering 3% non- return
Waste Treatmen Cardboard Box CO2/kg	0,7		

#### **Carbon Footprint of manufacturing**

Reusable BikeBox	BikeBox 30,5347		including 3% for non-return	
Disposable Cardboard	7,98			

An Analysis of CO2 Emission Reduction

	BikeBox	Cardboard		
	emission kg of	emission kg of saving abs. kg of		
Uses	CO2	CO2	CO2	saving rel %
1	31,450741	8,68	22,77	262%
2	32,781091	17,36	15,42	89%
3	34,111441	26,04	8,07	31%
4	35,441791	34,72	0,72	2%
4,236	36,772141	36,77	0,00	0%
5	36,772141	43,40	-6,63	-15%
6	38,102491	52,08	-13,98	-27%
7	39,432841	60,76	-21,33	-35%
8	40,763191	69,44	-28,68	-41%
9	42,093541	78,12	-36,03	-46%
10	43,423891	86,80	-43,38	-50%
11	44,754241	95,48	-50,73	-53%
12	46,084591	104,16	-58,08	-56%
13	47,414941	112,84	-65,43	-58%
14	48,745291	121,52	-72,77	-60%
15	50,075641	130,20	-80,12	-62%
16	51,405991	138,88	-87,47	-63%
17	52,736341	147,56	-94,82	-64%
18	54,066691	156,24	-102,17	-65%
19	55,397041	164,92	-109,52	-66%
20	56,727391	173,60	-116,87	-67%
21	58,057741	182,28	-124,22	-68%
22	59,388091	190,96	-131,57	-69%
23	60,718441	199,64	-138,92	-70%
24	62,048791	208,32	-146,27	-70%
25	63,379141	217,00	-153,62	-71%
26	64,709491	225,68	-160,97	-71%
27	66,039841	234,36	-168,32	-72%
28	67,370191	243,04	-175,67	-72%
29	68,700541	251,72	-183,02	-73%
30	71,335901	260,40	-189,06	-73%
31	71,361241	269,08	-197,72	-73%
32	72,691591	277,76	-205,07	-74%
33	74,021941	286,44	-212,42	-74%
34	75,352291	295,12	-219,77	-74%
35	76,682641	303,80	-227,12	-75%
36	78,012991	312,48	-234,47	-75%
37	79,343341	321,16	-241,82	-75%
38	80,673691	329,84	-249,17	-76%
39	δ2,004041	JJØ,5∠	-256,52	-/b%
40	83,334391	347,20	-263,87	-76%
41	04,004/41 95 005001	333,88 767 FC	-2/1,22 279 EC	-/0% 7c0/
+∠ /.7	15/255 CO	204,20 272 21/	-2/0,00 285 01	-70% 70%
-+5	88 655701	72107	-202,21	-7770 
44	89 9861/1	301,92	-293,20	-7770/
46	9] 316/91	390,00 799.28	-300,01	-77%
47	92 646841	40796	-307,90	-77%
48	93,977191	416.64	-322.66	-77%
49	95.307541	425.32	-330.01	-78%
50	979/2901	/3/ 00	-336.06	-77%

An Analysis of CO2 Emission Reduction

#### Total Carbon Footprint for 30 uses

Reusable BikeBox Emissions CO2 in kg	71,336	kg CO2	BikeBoxPro gets returned and reused over 30 uses. Manufacturing and Recycling emissions apply only once
Disposable Cardboard	260,40	kg CO2	CardboardBox gets recylced and re- manufactured after each use.

The Breakeven in carbon footprint occurs after 4,2 uses.

BikeBox produces significantly less CO2 Emissions than disposable cardboard packaging and reduces the carbon footprint by up to 76% compared to disposable packagings.



An Analysis of CO2 Emission Reduction



### Analysis of the total packaging weight.

Weight	weight per use	weight 30 uses	
	kg	kg	
BikeBox (BBP-DF/XL)	12,67	13,06	Excluding extra protective
Cardboard Box	7	210	packaging

While BikeBoxes turn into recyclable waste after the 30th use (assuming that only 3% get lost, the number of recyclable waste was adapted to a 97% return rate), disposable packagings cause significant recyclable waste after each single use.

An Analysis of CO2 Emission Reduction

	BikeBox	Cardboard Box		
Uses	recyclable waste	recyclabel waste	Savings abs. Kg	Savings rel. Kg
1	13,06185567	7,00	-6,06	87%
2	13,06185567	14	0,94	-7%
3	13,06185567	21,00	7,94	-38%
4	13,06185567	28,00	14,94	-53%
5	13,06185567	35,00	21,94	-63%
6	13,06185567	42,00	28,94	-69%
7	13,06185567	49,00	35,94	-73%
8	13,06185567	56,00	42,94	-77%
9	13,06185567	63,00	49,94	-79%
10	13,06185567	70,00	56,94	-81%
11	13,06185567	77,00	63,94	-83%
12	13,06185567	84,00	70,94	-84%
13	13,06185567	91,00	77,94	-86%
14	13,06185567	98,00	84,94	-87%
15	13,06185567	105,00	91,94	-88%
16	13,06185567	112,00	98,94	-88%
17	13,06185567	119,00	105,94	-89%
18	13,06185567	126,00	112,94	-90%
19	13,06185567	133,00	119,94	-90%
20	13,06185567	140,00	126,94	-91%
21	13,06185567	147,00	133,94	-91%
22	13,06185567	154,00	140,94	-92%
23	13,06185567	161,00	147,94	-92%
24	13,06185567	168,00	154,94	-92%
25	13,06185567	175,00	161,94	-93%
26	13,06185567	182,00	168,94	-93%
27	13,06185567	189,00	175,94	-93%
28	13,06185567	196,00	182,94	-93%
29	13,06185567	203,00	189,94	-94%
30	13,06185567	210,00	196,94	-94%

Above data shows that the use of a reusable BikeBox reduces the total packaging waste by 94%.

An Analysis of CO2 Emission Reduction



The comparison of CO2 Emissions between single-use cardboard Boxes and reusable BikeBoxes shows a 73% reduction in CO2e Emissions at a use of 30 cycles.

An Analysis of CO2 Emission Reduction

### **References:**

Brogaard, L. K., Damgaard, A., Jensen, M. B., Barlaz, M., & Christensen, T. H. (2014). Evaluation of life cycle inventory data for recycling systems. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 87, page9. https://backend.orbit.dtu.dk/ws/portalfiles/portal/119496498/2014\_Brogaard\_et\_Evaluation\_of\_life\_cycle\_inve ntory\_data\_for\_recycling\_systems\_Postprint.pdf

The rise of reusable packaging. Fashion for Good. April 2021; understanding the impact and mapping a pass to scale.

IPC, Postal Sector Sustainability Results. www.ipc.be. November 12, 2021 https://www.ipc.be/services/sustainability/smms

FEFCO, 2018, European Database For Corrugated Board Life Cycle Studies.European Database for Corrugated Board Life Cycle Studies, FEFCO (2018)

PAS 2050:2008; Specification for the assessment of Greenhouse Gas emissions. QUANTIFYING THE GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS OF PRODUCTS PAS 2050 & the GHG Protocol Product Standard A short guide to their purpose, similarities and differences. Available at:

https://ghgprotocol.org/sites/default/files/standards\_supporting/GHG%20Protocol%20PAS%202050%20Fact sheet.pdf

WRAP. Carbon Waste and resources Metric. Final Report. POS011-007. 2021. https://wrap.org.uk/sites/default/files/2021-06/Carbon%20WARM%20Report.pdf

Ecocostsvalue.com. 2020. Idemat. Available at: https://www.ecocostsvalue.com/EVR/model/theory/5-Idemat.html

Studie Tyrepack. Vergleichende CO2 Bilanz von Mehrwegverpackungen fuer PKW Raeder und Reifen gegenueber einweg-Kartonagen. Frauenhoefer Institut.

Frauenhofer, Whitepaper. CIRCULAR ECONOMY LOGISTICS: FÜR EINE KREISLAUFWIRTSCHAFT 4.0. 2017

ICCT - the International Council on clean transport. CO2 Emissions from trucks in the EU> Available at: https://theicct.org/publication/co2-emissions-from-trucks-in-the-eu-an-analysis-of-the-heavy-duty-co2-standards-baseline-

 $data/\#:\sim:text=Urban\%20 delivery\%20 trucks\%20 with\%20 a, large\%20 spread\%20 around\%20 the\%20 mean.$ 

DPD Online Recherche: https://www.dpd.com/de/en/faq/wie-hoch-ist-die-co-c2-b2-emission-pro-paket

Ellen Mac Arthur Foundation. The New Plastics Economy: Rethinking the future of plastics. https://ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/the-new-plastics-economy-rethinking-the-future-of-plastics

The green freight handbook. A Practical Guide for Developing a Sustainable Freight Transportation Strategy for Business. EDF Environment Defense Fund. Available at: https://storage.googleapis.com/scsc/Green%20Freight/EDF-Green-Freight-Handbook.pdf

https://tree-nation.com/projects/inside-tree-nation/article/6884-what-are-the-co2-emissions-of-a-package-or-parcel

https://www.co2everything.com/how-we-calculate-km-of-driving https://www.co2everything.com/co2e-of/freight-shipping

The Upright Project. The Netimpact of Repack. 2020 https://www.repack.com/news/why-is-repack-more-sustainable-than-single-use-cardboard-boxes