

TECHNICAL CLAIMS, METHODOLOGY AND CORRECTIONS STATEMENT

VG-COM-024-PUB



PURPOSE

This statement supports accurate, appropriately reviewed and clearly qualified public claims about Valhalla's technology, data, research and exploration work.

PUBLIC COMMITMENT

- Material claims should have a reasonable evidence base and identify important assumptions and limitations.
- AI-assisted, preliminary and conceptual outputs should not be presented as established geological fact or a guaranteed discovery outcome.
- Material errors or misleading impressions should be corrected in a practical and timely manner.

STATUS	Published
POLICY OWNER	Managing Director
PROPOSED APPROVER	Valhalla Board
PUBLICATION	Published v1.0

1. Review and approval approach

Valhalla is an Australian-based private company. This document is applied in a practical and adaptable manner, with controls suited to the nature and risk of the activity. The Managing Director may set or adjust implementation arrangements, subject to law, contracts and matters reserved to the Valhalla Board. Ordinary operations may proceed within approved budgets and delegations. A significant departure from ordinary operations, material change, unusual commitment, new jurisdiction or matter outside approved authority must be documented and approved before implementation, including by the Valhalla Board where required.

The level of review should reflect the audience, significance and potential reliance. Routine factual communications may be approved by the Managing Director or delegate. Investor, partner, media, technical, sustainability or high-consequence claims may require Board, legal or appropriately qualified technical review.

2. Evidence and status

Claims should distinguish between source data, interpretation, hypothesis, target generation, model prediction, exploration result, resource information and commercial aspiration. Material sources, dates, assumptions, exclusions and uncertainty should be identified where needed to avoid a misleading impression.

3. AI and model outputs

AI-generated rankings, targets, narratives, maps or forecasts are decision-support outputs. Public descriptions should explain their intended role and should not imply certainty, independent professional opinion or validation that has not occurred. Geological review should be proportionate to the significance of the claim.

4. Third-party information and endorsements

Valhalla should not imply that a university, government agency, community, investor, customer or technical expert endorses a claim unless authorised. Third-party data and statements should be attributed and used within applicable rights and context.

5. Approval and records

Material public claims should have an identifiable approver and a reasonable supporting record, which may include source documents, model outputs, technical notes, review emails or Board papers. Approval arrangements may be adapted to the communication channel and urgency.

6. Corrections

Where Valhalla becomes aware of a material error or misleading impression, it should assess the audience, potential harm and continued availability of the claim. A correction may involve updating or removing content, notifying a partner or affected audience, adding context, revising a presentation or publishing a correction notice.

Related documents

- Responsible AI and Exploration Assurance Statement
- Research Integrity and Academic Independence Policy
- Environment, Sustainability and Community Policy
- Code of Conduct and Ethics

Document control and approval

Public reference	VG-COM-024-PUB	Version	1.0
Status	Published	Publication	Published v1.0
Policy owner	Managing Director	Proposed approver	Valhalla Board
Approved by	C.Dubieniecki	Approved date	August 12th 2026
Review cycle	Bi-Annually or as board requires	Review date	August 12th 2028
Internal source	Public Communications and Technical Claims Policy		

PUBLICATION CONTROL Published v1.0. This is the current website publication. Procedures may be adapted proportionately, but any substantive amendment to the published commitments requires controlled review, approval and versioning.