

# Sample Report Disclosures

FOR USE IN FORENSIC REPORTS

---

## How to Use This Document

This template provides draft language for disclosing the use of AI-assisted record review in the body of your forensic report. It is written to be optimally responsive to APA Ethics Codes standards and the Specialty Guidelines for Forensic Psychology.

Depending on the structure of your evaluation reports you may wish to insert this at the outset or end, within a methodology section, or within a records reviewed/sources of information section.

The report disclaimer serves a different function than the retainer agreement disclosure (also available at [www.argentforensics.com/resources](http://www.argentforensics.com/resources)). This language documents your methodology for the legal record and becomes part of the formal work product that may be subject to discovery, peer review, or cross-examination. Draft with this in mind.

Before using this language, confirm that it accurately describes how you used Argent Forensics in the specific evaluation to which the report relates. Adapt it to reflect your specific use. For example, if you used the platform for document organization but not AI-generated summaries, adjust the language accordingly.

This template is not legal advice. Engage in consultation and consider seeking legal guidance if you have jurisdiction-specific questions or more nuanced considerations for disclosure requirements for AI tool use in forensic reports.

---

PREPARED BY: ARGENT FORENSICS

[WWW.ARGENTFORENSICS.COM](http://WWW.ARGENTFORENSICS.COM)

MAY 2026

# Option A: Integrated, Flexible, Multi-Tool

*General enough to scale with you*

---

## Statement of Technology Methodology

Consistent with professional standards and ethical guidelines governing the use of technology in forensic practice, specialized and secure third-party software tools (including [Argent Forensics for collateral record review and Parchment for routine copy drafting and editing]) were utilized to support administrative and clerical workflows in the preparation of this evaluation. These technologies were employed strictly as auxiliary aids under my direct supervision for functions restricted to electronic document organization, chronological mapping of collateral records, secure cloud storage, initial drafting, and copy editing. These tools were not utilized for interpreting clinical data, scoring assessment instruments, constructing diagnostic formulations, or authoring forensic opinions. I maintain sole and absolute professional responsibility for this evaluation. All analytical integrations, diagnostic formulations, and forensic conclusions were executed entirely by me, and all underlying facts were personally audited and verified against primary sources.

# Option B: Brief, generalized

*When the use is largely peripheral and disclosure is a formality*

---

*[named tools]*

## **Statement of Technology Methodology**

Artificial Intelligence (AI) was used to support some functions in the preparation of this report. Specifically, [Argent Forensics was used for record review] and [BastionGPT was used for copy editing]. All AI-assisted output was treated as preliminary and non-authoritative. I reviewed and verified all material and take full responsibility for the forensic analysis and opinions.

*[unnamed tools]*

## **Statement of Technology Methodology**

Artificial Intelligence (AI) was used to support some functions in the preparation of this report. All AI-assisted output was treated as preliminary and non-authoritative. I reviewed and verified all material and take full responsibility for the forensic analysis and opinions.

# Option C: Detailed, Record-Review Specific

*If significant depth is necessary to explain Argent-specific methodology*

---

## AI-Assisted Record Review

Record review for this evaluation was conducted with the assistance of Argent Forensics, a secure, specialized clinical-legal indexing platform designed for forensic psychological evaluation. All case records were processed within a HIPAA-compliant, encrypted environment under a Business Associate Agreement and no case data was used to train AI models. This platform utilized localized semantic search and Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG), which is a technology that retrieves information directly from the uploaded case records rather than from general AI training databases; the software uses this to map, sort, and draft preliminary timelines and factual indexes of the case file. The software is strictly prohibited from generating clinical interpretations or drawing diagnostic conclusions. Every intermediate draft output generated by the platform carries a specific citation to the raw records. All software-generated drafts are preliminary and non-authoritative; I personally audited all output before incorporating any information into my clinical analysis. The forensic opinions and clinical conclusions expressed in this report are entirely my own, based on my independent professional judgement.

# Option D: Prohibition Restrictions

*To prevent report recipients from putting your evaluation into unsanctioned AI platforms*

---

*[comprehensive]*

## **Restriction on Re-Disclosure**

This document contains highly sensitive, protected health information and possibly proprietary psychological testing data. Unauthorized reproduction, transmission, or electronic disclosure of this material is strictly prohibited. Recipients are strongly cautioned against uploading, processing, or ingesting this document, in whole or in part, into any public, non-secure, or open-domain artificial intelligence (AI) model or large language model (LLM). Unauthorized placement of this material into any AI platform may violate applicable data privacy laws and professional standards and is done at the recipient's legal risk, considering applicable regulatory requirements for the protection of data privacy, confidentiality, test security, or copyright protections.

*[brief]*

## **Restriction on Re-Disclosure**

This document contains highly sensitive, protected health information and proprietary data. This document must not be uploaded to any consumer, public, or non-secure AI platform. Use of any AI tool for review of this document should be limited to platforms with HIPAA-compliant or attorney-client privileged enterprise security, and users remain solely responsible for compliance with applicable data privacy laws.

# Frequently Asked Questions

---

## *Do I need to name the specific platform in my report?*

In many cases, naming the platform will be consistent with transparency values that underly disclosure, as it allows the parties to prepare appropriate questions to query the methodology employed. In other cases, it will not be necessary. Generally, it is our recommendation to name the platforms, which allows you to receive and respond to more specific questions about your decision making and procedures. Naming Argent also demonstrates that you used a purpose-built professional tool rather than a general-purpose consumer AI application. Specificity provides the impression that you are not avoiding or hiding this information.

## *Which option should I use?*

Use the briefest possible disclosure under your circumstances so as not to distract from the evaluation, without compromising the point of providing readers with the most critical information necessary to evaluate and, if necessary, challenge your methodology. To some degree, the higher the stakes, the more comprehensive you may wish to be in your disclaimer, but ultimately the content is always going to be a product of your professional judgement and the needs of the case itself. Here is a brief overview of when the various options are most likely to be helpful:

- **Option A** is the most versatile and is recommended for standard forensic practice. It establishes a broad, future-proof technological framework that covers potential future growth of software options in one single, professional statement. It intentionally incorporates multiple tools into one statement, for practitioners using a suite of tools. It does not belabor these disclosures into numerous repetitive versions per tool, and that is by design because the underlying

foundational reassurances regarding human verification and data privacy considerations are going to be universally applicable.

- **Option B** can be considered when your technology use is largely administrative (e.g. simple copy editing, or utilizing only the secure file storage options of Argent with AI turned off). In these cases, more detailed disclosures might unnecessarily distract from the focus of the report. There is some balance that must be considered so as not to distract from the core forensic issues or detract focus from the issue at hand to low-hanging AI theatrics for parties who do not feel they have more substantive issues on which to challenge you.
- **Option C** is recommended for complex cases where you want to highlight your technological thoroughness and demonstrate the comprehensive and verified product that Argent assisted in producing.
- **Option D** is companion language that you may wish to combine with any selection from A, B, or C, that attempts to prevent downstream recipients from uploading your report into non-secure AI platforms. While this language may or may not be enforceable as a prohibition, it serves an important role with respect to constructive notice.

### ***Can I modify this language?***

Yes, please do. Adapt it to accurately describe your specific use in the evaluation to which the report relates. Any writing should match your voice, your tone, and your values. Ensure that modifications remain factually accurate, read as straightforward and non-defensive, and avoid language that either overstates or understates the platform's role in your methodology.

***Does this disclosure satisfy my obligations to the retaining party as well?***

These serve different purposes, and meet different ethical obligations. Report disclosure documents your methodology for the legal record, while informed consent or disclosure to the retaining party in the contractual process protects their rights as consumers of your services. Both disclosures serve different functions and are not substitutes for each other. You can find Sample Informed Consent language at [www.argentforensics.com/resources](http://www.argentforensics.com/resources).

***What if this causes opposing counsel to challenge my use of AI in cross-examination?***

That is not perceived as something to be avoided, feared, or as generating anxiety beyond any other testimony content. Like any other aspect of your methodology, responding to questions about AI is a matter of preparation – the due diligence used in selecting and using the tool appropriately, and confidence that stems from the certainty that proper use of this tool results in improved and methodologically sound forensic work product.

To help usher you into this mindset, the AI Testimony Preparation guide as well as the AI in the Courtroom guide are available at [www.argentforensics.com/resources](http://www.argentforensics.com/resources), which provides suggested considerations for some of the questions most likely to arise. This includes guiding case law precedents that suggest that the Courts are primarily concerned about altered, unverifiable AI outputs, which is very distinct from Argent’s architecture and methodology. There is also a Courtroom Defensibility section on the Resources & Defensibility section of the website to further articulate the legal and professional analysis underlying these positions.



---

## CONTACT AND FURTHER INFORMATION

Argent Forensics LLC; [support@argentforensics.com](mailto:support@argentforensics.com)

[www.argentforensics.com/resources](http://www.argentforensics.com/resources)

Additional professional resources, including Sample Testimony Preparation and Informed Consent, APA AI Tool Evaluation Checklist, and Admissibility Analysis, are available for free download at [argentforensics.com/resources](http://argentforensics.com/resources).

---

*This guide was prepared by Argent Forensics LLC for the professional use of licensed forensic practitioners. It is not legal advice. The examples provided are suggestions – your documentation should always reflect your own professional judgment, voice, and the specifics of the case at hand. Argent Forensics LLC assumes no responsibility for use of this material in any specific professional or legal context.*

© 2026 by Argent Forensics

[WWW.ARGENTFORENSICS.COM](http://WWW.ARGENTFORENSICS.COM)